AO 472 (Rev. 3/86) Order of Detention Pending Trial

	UNITED S	STATES DISTRI	CT COURT	U.S. DISTRICT COURT	
		District of	NEB	RASKA CT OF NEBRASKA	
	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA			2008 MAR 27 PM 3: 55	
	V.	ORDEI	R OF DETENTIO	N PENDING TRIAL	
	LUIS RAMIREZ-MIRANDA	Case Number	er: 4:08CR3043	OFFICE OF THE CLERK	
Defendant  Defendant					
In accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), a detention hearing has been held. I conclude that the following facts require the detention of the defendant pending trial in this case.					
Part I—Findings of Fact					
□ (1)	) The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has been convicted of a federal offense state or local offense that would have been a federal offense if a circumstance giving rise to federal jurisdiction had existed that is				
	or local offense that would have been a federal offense if a circumstance giving rise to federal jurisdiction had existed that is  a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4).				
	an offense for which the maximum sentence is life imprisonment or death.				
	an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in				
	a felony that was committed after the defendant had been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 U.S.C.				
<b>(2)</b>	§ 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local offenses.  (2) The offense described in finding (1) was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state or local offense.				
	A period of not more than five years has elapsed since the 🔲 date of conviction 🔲 release of the defendant from imprisonment				
	for the offense described in finding (1). Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the				
☐ ( <del>ग</del> )	safety of (an) other person(s) and the community. I further find that the defendant has not rebutted this presumption.				
Alternative Findings (A)					
(1)	There is probable cause to believe that the deferring for which a maximum term of imprisonmen		ed in		
	under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).	t of ten years of more is present			
<b>(2)</b>	The defendant has not rebutted the presumption established by finding 1 that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure				
the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community.  Alternative Findings (B)					
(1) There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear.					
(2)	(2) There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger the safety of another person or the community.				
			<u>.</u>		
Part II—Written Statement of Reasons for Detention					
I find that the credible testimony and information submitted at the hearing establishes by $\Box$ clear and convincing evidence $\Box$ a prepon-					
derance of the evidence that ICE Detainer   Notice to community					
			•	J	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Part III—Directions Regarding Detention					
The	Part 11 defendant is committed to the custody of the Attor			ent in a corrections facility separate,	
to the ex	tent practicable, from persons awaiting or servi-	ng sentences or being held in cu	ustody pending appeal.	The defendant shall be afforded a	
reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for the purpose of an appearance					
in conne	ction with a court proceeding.		0/60		
/\	Man 2 2 2 2 008	TKUC	V KONTO		
Signature of Judicial Officer  Richa-J Kop F David L. Plester, U.S. Magistrate Judge					
Name and Title of Judicial Officer					

<sup>\*</sup>Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).